

MTAC - HOMEROOM

Enterprise Analytics Addressing & Geospatial Technology

March 2020

Jim Wilson, Director Addressing & Geospatial Technology, USPS®





Address Quality Cycle 'O' Task Team #29



- Leadership Team:
 - Industry Adam Collinson, Sharon Harrison
 - USPS[®] Star Blackwood, Liz Flake
- USPS / Industry Participants:
 - Developers: CASS[™], MASS[™], DSF^{2®}, NCOA^{Link®}
 - Users (including MASS)
 - Mail Service Providers
 - Mailers: First-Class Mail®, USPS Marketing Mail®, Package Services
 - USPS and Industry SMEs: CASS[™], MASS, DSF2[®], Informed Address, Informed Delivery
- Kick-Off Meeting: 2/28/2020
 - Twice a week
- Final Meeting: 3/19/2020



Issue Statement:

To ensure the timely resolution, design, and final requirements related to Address Quality Cycle O changes, resolve known cross-systems impacts, and identify and resolve additional impacts.

Desired Results:

- Agreement and understanding on Address Quality Cycle O changes
- Resolutions that promote address quality and enhance the value of mail while meeting the various needs of different mailers
- Support an easy transition to the updated Cycle O products



• 23 Topics Reviewed:

- Understand driving factors for industry requests (learn "WHY," to identify root causes and determine "HOW" to best support "WHAT" is needed)
- Not necessary to support a variety of options as long as results provide data to allow users to decide how to use results
- Topic Categories:
 - USPS[®] & Industry agreed upon needs / recommendations
 - No changes required after USPS clarification / deeper analysis
 - Items that do not impact development specs to be reviewed at a later date
 - Industry request for additional documentation



- Enhanced DPV[®] Status and DPV[®] Footnote Codes:
 - When secondary information is present but not required for delivery
 - Enhanced DPV[®] Status codes 'Y' will be returned
 - New 'C1' footnote will be returned indicating secondary information not required
 - Removed Enhanced DPV® Status codes 'T' and 'P'
 - DPV[®] Footnote codes 'TA' and 'C1' provide this information
 - Return DPV[®] Status of blank for addresses containing an Informed Address
- 5-Digit Validation: Policy defined for performing 5-digit validation when address is not matched and input city/state do not agree.
- Dual Addresses: When a R777 (undeliverable address) and PO Box address are present, the PO Box address should be used for mailing purposes.
- NCOA^{Link®}: New address delivery point will reflect TotalDPS when implemented.



PBSA/CMRA:

- Maintain secondary designator of "#" when presented on input
- DMM requirements remain in effect on use of secondary designators
- Forcing NoStats R777 addresses:
 - USPS[®] automatically sets NoStats indicator on DPV[®] products
- NCOA^{Link®} & ACS[™]:
 - Middle Name Mismatch: not allowed to look for a Family level match
 - USPS analysis showed a 50/50 accuracy rate if allowed to match to Family COA
 - Not adding 'Day' to the Move Effective Date at this time
 - COA records where either new or old address is an R777 have been removed from & not allowed into all COA solutions



- 5-Digit Validation:
 - CASS[™] will supply flag to facilitate future postage discount qualification
 - To Be Discussed: changes within Presort to support use of this flag
- NCOA^{Link®}: PAF requirement for MID/CRID
 - USPS presented its reasoning for this requirement
 - Industry expressed its concerns with how this would be implemented in all mailing situations
 - To Be Discussed: exceptions / alternative to meet USPS[®] needs that do not place a burden on certain users
- Data Enhancements and Quality Control:
 - Respond to potential data anomalies that have been identified
 - Ensuring that all known addresses on an R777 are flagged as NoStats
 - Creation of new DPV table to indicate when secondary address information is required for base address
 - Findings and actions will be reported back through UG#5



THEFT

TT29 Recommendations for Multiple levels of documentation:

- USPS[®]: update detailed requirements for developers
- USPS[®] / Industry TT29: Details for presenting back to UG#5 / Mailers: including education / explanation for final recommendations
- USPS[®] / Industry via UG#5: High Level / Executive Summary explaining importance and value of Address Quality Cycle 'O'





- Informed Address (IA): need finalization of formats and how CASS™ will detect
- USPS to assess impact to timeline and final rules based on final recommendations
- Final timeline and rules to be published (Target Date 4/15/2020)
- TT 29 will report out on next UG#5 call
- USPS to work closely with User Group #5 team to prepare high level CASS Cycle 'O' documentation
- Develop plan for adoption of new addressing initiatives exclusive of a full CASS cycle



Thank You!